

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2010  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S180773****LOPEZ (ARMANDO) ON H.C.**

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

**S184684**

A122762 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**PEOPLE v. CHATMAN  
(CHARLES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 22, 2010.

**S184750**

H033783 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DIANO (MARLO  
PELONIO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 22, 2010.

**S184795**

G039045 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**FOGARTY-HARDWICK  
(DEANNA R.) v. COUNTY OF  
ORANGE**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 22, 2010.

**S184934**

D054139 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**MILAN (TANYA) v. CITY OF  
HOLTVILLE**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2010.

**S097668****PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE,  
JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 22, 2010.

**S100735****PEOPLE v. LANDRY  
(DANIEL GARY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Donald R. Tickle's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

**S102166****PEOPLE v. SIMON  
(RICHARD NATHAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Eric A. Swenson's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by February 18, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 22, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S118045****PEOPLE v. ADAMS  
(MARCUS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 8, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 8, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S138052****PEOPLE v. MATAELE  
(TUPOUTOE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 19, 2010.

**S139103****PEOPLE v. JACKSON  
(BAILEY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 8, 2010.

**S157242****CAREY (DEWAYNE  
MICHAEL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeannie R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 17, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

**S160915****COOK (JOSEPH LLOYD) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Sara Theiss's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 23, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 50 additional days is contemplated.

**S171312****RAMIREZ (RICHARD M.) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Statia Peakheart's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 27, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 27, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S179552**

C060376 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. JONES  
(JARVONNE FEREDDELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 18, 2010. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

**S180612**

H034154 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. BARRETT  
(CHRISTINE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to October 12, 2010. No Further extensions of time contemplated.

**S167791** C054124 Third Appellate District**MARTINEZ (ROBERT) v.  
REGENTS OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA**

Order filed

The request of counsel for respondents in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondents at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of respondents to allocate to Regents of the University of California et al. 15 minutes and Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges et al. 15 minutes of respondents' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

**S170758** A122022 First Appellate District, Div. 3**PINEDA (JORGE A.) v. BANK  
OF AMERICA, N.A.**

Request for judicial notice granted

Appellant's request for judicial notice, filed on May 22, 2009, is granted.

**S184637****KATRINAK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK, State Bar Number 164057, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 24, 2010; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011, 2012, and 2013. If RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. RAYMOND PAUL KATRINAK must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184645****JUAREZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEORGE A. JUAREZ, State Bar Number 75295, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. GEORGE A. JUAREZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
2. GEORGE A. JUAREZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 6, 2010 and the Order Modifying Decision filed on May 10, 2010; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GEORGE A. JUAREZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GEORGE A. JUAREZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. GEORGE A. JUAREZ must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184649****FISHER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ARTHUR EGBERT FISHER, State Bar Number 91650, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. ARTHUR EGBERT FISHER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 21, 2010, as modified by the State Bar Court in its June 17, 2010 Order Granting Motion for Reconsideration; Order Amending Decision; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ARTHUR EGBERT FISHER has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ARTHUR EGBERT FISHER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. ARTHUR EGBERT FISHER must also reimburse the Client Security

Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184680****BOBUS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RANDALL JOHN BOBUS, State Bar Number 75867, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. RANDALL JOHN BOBUS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. RANDALL JOHN BOBUS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 30, 2010; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RANDALL JOHN BOBUS has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RANDALL JOHN BOBUS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

RANDALL JOHN BOBUS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. RANDALL JOHN BOBUS must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184696****SANCHEZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ, State Bar Number 128900, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment under Business and Professions Code section 6233, which began on April 1, 2008, and ended on October 6, 2008);
2. DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on

May 13, 2010; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. DENNIS JOHN SANCHEZ must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and that such payment be enforceable as provided for under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

## **S184702**

## **STELZER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID CRAIG STELZER, State Bar Number 189836, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. DAVID CRAIG STELZER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 12, 2010; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID CRAIG STELZER has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID CRAIG STELZER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. DAVID CRAIG STELZER must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment obligation is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184713****WRIGHT ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT, State Bar Number 207506, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT is suspended from the practice of law for the first year (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6233 which commenced on August 13, 2007 and ended on August 26, 2008).
2. LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 24, 2010.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. LaJETTA YVETTE WRIGHT must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**S184718****STANLEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THOMAS ALAN STANLEY, State Bar Number 45990, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. THOMAS ALAN STANLEY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of four months, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to Edward Ruiz in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 1, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Edward Ruiz, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
  - ii. He makes restitution to Juana Martinez Ramos in the amount of \$2,500, plus 10% interest per year from August 1, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Juana Martinez Ramos, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - iii. He makes restitution to John Cheney in the amount of \$4,217, plus 10% interest per



year from June 1, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to John Cheney, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;

- iv. He makes restitution to Jaime Mercado in the amount of \$6,000, plus 10% interest per year from June 22, 2003 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jaime Mercado, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - v. He makes restitution to James Mason or Katherine Mason in the amount of \$4,000, plus 10% interest per year from September 22, 1999 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to James Mason or Katherine Mason, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - vi. He makes restitution to Maria Ibarra in the amount of \$1,000, plus 10% interest per year from June 7, 2001 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Maria Ibarra, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - vii. He makes restitution to JoeGene Castillo in the amount of \$8,000, plus 10% interest per year from January 1, 2002 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to JoeGene Castillo, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - viii. He provides satisfactory proof to the Office of Probation that he has made the specified restitution, if any, pursuant to any award, decision or final determination of a fee arbitrator in any of the matters in which respondent must offer, in writing, to initiate and participate in binding fee arbitration as specified in the Alternative Discipline Program Contract (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to anyone pursuant to an award, decision or final determination of a fee arbitrator, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5); and
  - ix. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. THOMAS ALAN STANLEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 26, 2010.
  - 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if THOMAS ALAN STANLEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

THOMAS ALAN STANLEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility

Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

THOMAS ALAN STANLEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S184728****TORREY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HOYT MICHAEL TORREY, State Bar Number 224045, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HOYT MICHAEL TORREY must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 7, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

HOYT MICHAEL TORREY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S184759****BUNCH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WAYNE BUNCH, State Bar Number 103093, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WAYNE BUNCH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. WAYNE BUNCH must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

**BAR MISC. 4186    IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE  
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 941)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)